



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of:**

Asaf TAMIR et al

**Serial No.:** 09/853,017

Filed: May 10, 2001

For: **SONIC/ULTRASONIC  
AUTHENTICATION DEVICE**

Examiner: Susan Iris McFadden

**FOR THE RECORD**

Group Art Unit: 2626

Attorney Docket: 36434  
(Previously: 452/65048)

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

**Sir:**

- (1) Applicant is a:  
☒ small entity  
☐ other than small entity

- (2) The fee for claims 37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)-(d) has been calculated as shown below:

For	Claims after Amendment	Highest Claims Previously Paid
Total Claims	17	34
Inden. Claims	4	3

Small Entity	
Rate	Fee
0 x \$ 25	\$ 0.00
1 x \$100	\$ 100.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$ 100.00</b>

Other Than Small Entity	
Rate	Fee
x \$ 50	\$ 0.00
x \$200	\$ 0.00
<b>TOTAL:</b>	<b>\$ 0.00</b>

- (3) A response to the Office Action dated August 30, 2006   X   is filed herewith  
\_\_\_\_\_ has been filed
- (4) Please charge the additional claim fee and any other amount required to Deposit Account  
No. 50-1407. A duplicate copy of this form is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Yaakov Schatz  
Yaakov Schatz  
Reg. No. 44,320

February 28, 2007

12/87/08 15:32 FAX 793 303 3836

US Patent Office TC 2730

Q401



<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/033,017	TAMR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan McFadden	2526	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Susan McFadden (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Alan Sgro (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview: 07 December 2006

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: e) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 22

Identification of prior art discussed: Mark (5,826,871)

Agreement with respect to the claims: f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ TZA.

Substance of interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agrees that the Mark reference does not read on the claims. The Examiner suggested that the claims should be amended to specify that the credit card device is used alone and to specify that the output generated is available perceptible by a user.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Alan Sgro  
Examiner's signature, if required

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE  
PTOL-413 (prev. 04-08)

Interview Summary

Form No. 20081207